26

27

Hon. Judith H. Ramseyer Noted for: May 11, 2023 Without Oral Argument

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF KING

AMY GARCIA, ANTHONY GIBBONS, and TAYLOR RIELY-GIBBONS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

V.

WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING, an agency of the State of Washington,

Defendant.

No. 22-2-05635-5 SEA

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF **CLASS SETTLEMENT** 

Plaintiffs, by unopposed motion, have submitted a proposed Class Action Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement") to the Court for review. Having reviewed the Settlement Agreement and Plaintiffs' motion and supporting declarations, the Court FINDS, CONCLUDES, and ORDERS as follows:

- 1. The Court concludes that the Settlement Agreement is the result of arms-length negotiations between the parties after contested litigation. The Settlement Agreement has no obvious defects and is within the range of possible settlement approval, such that the terms are reasonable and notice to the Class is appropriate. Capitalized terms appearing in this Order have the same meaning as used in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The proposed notices to be mailed to the Class and posted on the internet at a settlement website to be established by the Settlement Administrator, examples of which are

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT - 1

EMERY | REDDY, PLLC

600 Stewart Street, Suite 1100 Seattle, WA 98101

PHONE: (206) 442-9106 • FAX: (206) 441-9711

attached to the Settlement Agreement and are sufficient in detail to provide sufficient notice of the Settlement Agreement to the Settlement Class. The proposed plan of distribution of the notice through mail and email and establishment of a website are likewise sufficient.

- 3. The forms of Notice fairly, plainly, accurately, and reasonably inform Settlement Class members of: (1) appropriate information about the nature of this litigation, the Settlement, the Settlement Class definition, the identity of Class Counsel, and the essential terms of the Settlement; (2) appropriate information about Class Counsel's forthcoming application for attorneys' fees and the proposed incentive award to the Settlement Class Representatives; (3) appropriate information about how to participate in the Settlement; (4) appropriate information about this Court's procedures for final approval of the Settlement, and about Settlement Class Members' right to appear through counsel if they desire; (5) appropriate information about how to challenge or opt-out of the Settlement, if they wish to do so; and (6) appropriate instructions as to how to obtain additional information regarding this litigation and the Settlement. In addition, pursuant to CR 23(c)(2)(B), the Notice informs Settlement Class Members that any Settlement Class Member who fails to opt-out will be prohibited from bringing a lawsuit against Defendant and certain entities related to Defendant based on or related to any of the claims asserted by Plaintiffs.
- 4. The Court finds that the factors of CR 23(a) are satisfied here. The proposed class consists of over 500,000 people, and joinder is therefore impracticable. The claims asserted by the Plaintiffs are both common and typical of the claims of the class members. The Court finds no conflict of interest presented among Class Counsel or Plaintiffs with the Settlement Class. In addition, the Court finds that the factors of CR 23(b) are also satisfied. The Court finds both factual questions and legal issues that are common to the Plaintiffs' claims and the Settlement Class that predominate over any individualized issues. Certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes is superior to piecemeal litigation of the Plaintiffs' and Settlement Class Members' claims. The Court therefore certifies as the Settlement Class the following:

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT - 2

EMERY | REDDY, PLLC 600 Stewart Street, Suite 1100

Seattle, WA 98101 PHONE: (206) 442-9106 • FAX: (206) 441-9711

All individuals whose personal information was compromised in the Data Breach disclosed by the Washington State Department of Licensing in February 2022. The Settlement Class specifically excludes: (i) DOL and its officers and directors; (ii) all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly submit requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class; (iii) any other Person found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be guilty under criminal law of initiating, causing, aiding or abetting the criminal activity occurrence of the Data Breach or who pleads *nolo contendre* to any such charge; and (iv) members of the judiciary to whom this case is assigned, their families, and members of their staff.

- 5. The Court appoints Kim D. Stephens, P.S. and Kaleigh N. Boyd of Tousley Brain Stephens, PLLC; Timothy W. Emery of Emery | Reddy, PLLC; and M. Anderson Berry of Clayeo C. Arnold, A Professional Law Corp. as the Proposed Settlement Class Counsel.
- 6. The Court appoints Amy Garcia, Anthony Gibbons, Taylor Riely-Gibbons, Tony Myhre, and Hansa Thomas as Settlement Class Representatives.
- 7. The Court appoints Kroll Settlement Administration, LLC as the Settlement Administrator in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and finds, based on the Declaration of Scott M. Fenwick that Kroll has sufficient knowledge, skill and expertise to effectively distribute the Notice and to handle the administration of claims to be submitted by the Settlement Class. The Settlement Administrator shall distribute Notice to the Settlement Class as provided by the Settlement Agreement.
- 9. Within 10 days of the date of entry of this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall establish a settlement website for the posting of Notice and the Claim Form as provided in the Settlement Agreement. A copy of this Order, the amended complaint, Class Counsel's motion for attorney's fees, and motion for final approval shall also be posted on the settlement website. Additional filings in the case may be posted on the site at the request of one or more of the parties.
- 10. Within 30 days of the date of entry of this Order, the Settlement Administrator shall have sent the Notice and Claim Form substantially in the form specified in the Settlement Agreement.

PHONE: (206) 442-9106 • FAX: (206) 441-9711

1	M. Anderson Berry*	
2	Gregory Haroutunian* CLAYEO C. ARNOLD, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORP.	
3	865 Howe Avenue	
4	Sacramento, CA 95825 Phone: (916) 777-7777	
5	Fax: (916) 924-1829 Email: aberry@justice4you.com	
6	gharoutunian@justice4you.com  *pro hac vice application forthcoming	
7	Kim D. Stephens, WSBA No. 11984	
8	Kaleigh N. Boyd, WSBA No. 52684	
9	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1200 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1700	
10	Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 682-5600	
11	Fax: (206) 682-2992	
12	Email: kstephens@tousley.com Email: cjordan@tousley.com	
13	Email: kboyd@tousley.com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	la l	
24		
25		
26		
27		
21	EMERY   REDDY, PLLC  [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED  MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF  CLASS SETTLEMENT - 5  EMERY   REDDY, PLLC  600 Stewart Street, Suite 1100  Seattle, WA 98101  PHONE: (206) 442-9106 • FAX: (206) 441-9711	

## King County Superior Court Judicial Electronic Signature Page

Case Number: 22-2-05635-5

Case Title: GARCIA VS WASHINGTON STATE OF LICENSING

Document Title: ORDER RE PRELIMINARY CLASS APPROVAL

Signed By: Judith H. Ramseyer

Date: May 11, 2023

Judge: Judith H. Ramseyer

This document is signed in accordance with the provisions in GR 30.

Certificate Hash: 08B04919A6905EC38077251FA81017A01DA60A76

Certificate effective date: 7/16/2018 2:34:55 PM Certificate expiry date: 7/16/2023 2:34:55 PM

Certificate Issued by: C=US, E=kcscefiling@kingcounty.gov, OU=KCDJA,

O=KCDJA, CN="Judith Ramseyer: BBvO7QrS5hGe+MT2AFk6yQ=="